



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications 428 (2008) 1083–1108

LINEAR ALGEBRA  
AND ITS  
APPLICATIONS[www.elsevier.com/locate/laa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/laa)

# The switching element for a Leonard pair

Kazumasa Nomura<sup>a,\*</sup>, Paul Terwilliger<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Tokyo Medical and Dental University, Kohnodai, Ichikawa 272-0827, Japan<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin, 480 Lincoln Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Received 22 August 2006; accepted 11 September 2007

Available online 5 November 2007

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

---

## Abstract

Let  $V$  denote a vector space with finite positive dimension. We consider a pair of linear transformations  $A: V \rightarrow V$  and  $A^*: V \rightarrow V$  that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:

- (i) There exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A^*$  is diagonal.
- (ii) There exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A^*$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A$  is diagonal.

We call such a pair a *Leonard pair* on  $V$ . Let  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^d$ ) denote a basis for  $V$  referred to in (i) (resp. (ii)). We show that there exists a unique linear transformation  $S: V \rightarrow V$  that sends  $v_0$  to a scalar multiple of  $v_d$ , fixes  $w_0$ , and sends  $w_i$  to a scalar multiple of  $w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We call  $S$  the *switching element*. We describe  $S$  from many points of view.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**AMS classification:** 05E35; 05E30; 33C45; 33D45

**Keywords:** Leonard pair; Tridiagonal pair;  $q$ -Racah polynomial; Orthogonal polynomial

---

---

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [nomura.las@tmd.ac.jp](mailto:nomura.las@tmd.ac.jp), [knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp](mailto:knomura@pop11.odn.ne.jp) (K. Nomura), [terwilli@math.wisc.edu](mailto:terwilli@math.wisc.edu) (P. Terwilliger).

## 1. Leonard pairs

We begin by recalling the notion of a Leonard pair. We will use the following terms. A square matrix  $X$  is said to be *tridiagonal* whenever each nonzero entry lies on either the diagonal, the subdiagonal, or the superdiagonal. Assume  $X$  is tridiagonal. Then  $X$  is said to be *irreducible* whenever each entry on the subdiagonal is nonzero and each entry on the superdiagonal is nonzero. We now define a Leonard pair. For the rest of this paper  $\mathbb{K}$  will denote a field.

**Definition 1.1** [29]. Let  $V$  denote a vector space over  $\mathbb{K}$  with finite positive dimension. By a *Leonard pair* on  $V$  we mean an ordered pair  $A, A^*$  where  $A: V \rightarrow V$  and  $A^*: V \rightarrow V$  are linear transformations that satisfy (i) and (ii) below:

- (i) There exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A^*$  is diagonal.
- (ii) There exists a basis for  $V$  with respect to which the matrix representing  $A^*$  is irreducible tridiagonal and the matrix representing  $A$  is diagonal.

**Note 1.2.** It is a common notational convention to use  $A^*$  to represent the conjugate-transpose of  $A$ . We are *not* using this convention. In a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  the linear transformations  $A$  and  $A^*$  are arbitrary subject to (i) and (ii) above.

We refer the reader to [5,16,19,20,21,22,23,24,27,28,29,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,40,41,42] for background on Leonard pairs. We especially recommend the survey [38]. See [1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,17,18,25,26,30,39,43] for related topics.

We now give an informal summary of the present paper; our formal treatment will begin in Section 2. In [35, Theorem 6.3] and [36, Theorem 3.2] some characterizations of Leonard pairs were given, and in these characterizations a certain invertible matrix was used. Our goal in this paper is to systematically investigate this matrix, or more precisely, the corresponding linear transformation which we denote by  $S$ . We define  $S$  as follows. Let  $A, A^*$  denote the Leonard pair on  $V$  from Definition 1.1. Let  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^d$ ) denote a basis for  $V$  referred to in part (i) (resp. (ii)) of that definition. We show that there exists a unique linear transformation  $S: V \rightarrow V$  that sends  $v_0$  to a scalar multiple of  $v_d$ , fixes  $w_0$ , and sends  $w_i$  to a scalar multiple of  $w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We call  $S$  the *switching element* for  $A, A^*$ . We show  $S$  is invertible. There is a well-known correspondence between Leonard pairs and sequences of orthogonal polynomials from the terminating branch of the Askey scheme [15,38]; we show that  $S = u_d(A)$  where  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$  are the polynomials that correspond to  $A, A^*$ . A *flag* on  $V$  is a sequence  $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of subspaces of  $V$  such that  $F_i$  has dimension  $i + 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and  $F_{i-1} \subseteq F_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Following [31, Definition 7.2] we define four flags on  $V$  called  $[0], [D], [0^*], [D^*]$ ; for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  the  $i$ th component of  $[0]$  (resp.  $[D], [0^*], [D^*]$ ) is  $\text{Span}\{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_i\}$  (resp.  $\text{Span}\{w_d, w_{d-1}, \dots, w_{d-i}\}, \text{Span}\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_i\}, \text{Span}\{v_d, v_{d-1}, \dots, v_{d-i}\}$ ). These four flags are mutually opposite in the sense of [31, Theorem 7.3]. We show that up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar,  $S$  is the unique linear transformation on  $V$  that fixes each of  $[0], [D]$  and sends  $[0^*]$  to  $[D^*]$ . A *decomposition* of  $V$  is a sequence of one-dimensional subspaces whose direct sum is  $V$ . Let  $x, y$  denote an ordered pair of distinct elements from the set  $\{0, D, 0^*, D^*\}$ . By [31, Theorem 8.3] there exists a decomposition  $[xy]$  of  $V$  such that for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  the  $i$ th component of  $[xy]$  is the intersection of the  $i$ th component of  $[x]$  and the  $(d - i)$ th component of  $[y]$ . We show that up to multiplication by a nonzero scalar,  $S$  is the unique linear transformation on  $V$  that sends  $[0^*0]$  to  $[D^*0]$  and

$[0^*D]$  to  $[D^*D]$ . By our earlier remarks there exists a unique linear transformation  $S^*: V \rightarrow V$  that sends  $w_0$  to a scalar multiple of  $w_d$ , fixes  $v_0$ , and sends  $v_i$  to a scalar multiple of  $v_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We show that each component of  $[0^*D]$  (resp.  $[D^*D]$ ,  $[0^*0]$ ,  $[D^*0]$ ) is an eigenspace for  $S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S$  (resp.  $S^*SS^{*-1}S^{-1}$ ,  $S^{*-1}S^{-1}S^*S$ ,  $S^{*-1}SS^*S^{-1}$ ). We find the corresponding eigenvalues. We consider a certain basis for  $V$  whose  $i$ th component is contained in the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . With respect to this basis the matrix representing  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) is lower bidiagonal (resp. upper bidiagonal) [29, Lemma 3.9]. We display the matrices that represent  $S$  and  $S^*$  with respect to this basis. In a related result we characterize the Leonard pair concept in terms of the switching element. We finish the paper with some open problems.

## 2. Leonard systems

When working with a Leonard pair, it is convenient to consider a closely related object called a *Leonard system*. To prepare for our definition of a Leonard system, we recall a few concepts from linear algebra. Let  $d$  denote a nonnegative integer and let  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  denote the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra consisting of all  $d+1$  by  $d+1$  matrices that have entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ . We index the rows and columns by  $0, 1, \dots, d$ . We let  $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$  denote the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space of all  $d+1$  by  $1$  matrices that have entries in  $\mathbb{K}$ . We index the rows by  $0, 1, \dots, d$ . We view  $\mathbb{K}^{d+1}$  as a left module for  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ . We observe this module is irreducible. For the rest of this paper, let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote a  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra isomorphic to  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  and let  $V$  denote a simple left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module. We remark that  $V$  is unique up to isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules, and that  $V$  has dimension  $d+1$ . Let  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote a basis for  $V$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $Y \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ , we say  $Y$  represents  $X$  with respect to  $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$  whenever  $Xv_j = \sum_{i=0}^d Y_{ij}v_i$  for  $0 \leq j \leq d$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  we say  $A$  is *multiplicity-free* whenever it has  $d+1$  mutually distinct eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{K}$ . Assume  $A$  is multiplicity-free. Let  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote an ordering of the eigenvalues of  $A$ , and for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  put

$$E_i = \prod_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq d \\ j \neq i}} \frac{A - \theta_j I}{\theta_i - \theta_j}, \quad (1)$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We observe (i)  $AE_i = \theta_i E_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ); (ii)  $E_i E_j = \delta_{i,j} E_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ); (iii)  $\sum_{i=0}^d E_i = I$ ; (iv)  $A = \sum_{i=0}^d \theta_i E_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $A$ . Using (i)–(iv) we find the sequence  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for the  $\mathbb{K}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{D}$ . We call  $E_i$  the *primitive idempotent* of  $A$  associated with  $\theta_i$ . It is helpful to think of these primitive idempotents as follows. Observe

$$V = E_0 V + E_1 V + \cdots + E_d V \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $E_i V$  is the (one-dimensional) eigenspace of  $A$  in  $V$  associated with the eigenvalue  $\theta_i$ , and  $E_i$  acts on  $V$  as the projection onto this eigenspace. We note that for  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  the following are equivalent: (i)  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ ; (ii)  $XA = AX$ ; (iii)  $XE_i V \subseteq E_i V$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

By a *Leonard pair* in  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean an ordered pair of elements taken from  $\mathcal{A}$  that act on  $V$  as a Leonard pair in the sense of Definition 1.1. We now define a Leonard system.

**Definition 2.1** [29]. By a *Leonard system* in  $\mathcal{A}$  we mean a sequence

$$\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$$

that satisfies (i)–(v) below.

- (i) Each of  $A$ ,  $A^*$  is a multiplicity-free element in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (ii)  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A$ .

(iii)  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  is an ordering of the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$ .

(iv) For  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$

$$E_i A^* E_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

(v) For  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$

$$E_i^* A E_j^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |i - j| > 1, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } |i - j| = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We say  $\Phi$  is over  $\mathbb{K}$ .

Leonard systems are related to Leonard pairs as follows. Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair in  $\mathcal{A}$  [37, Section 3]. Conversely, suppose  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then each of  $A, A^*$  is multiplicity-free [29, Lemma 1.3]. Moreover, there exists an ordering  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A$ , and there exists an ordering  $\{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  of the primitive idempotents of  $A^*$ , such that  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  [37, Lemma 3.3].

### 3. The $D_4$ action

For a given Leonard system  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , each of the following is a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\Phi^* := (A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d),$$

$$\Phi^\downarrow := (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d),$$

$$\Phi^\downarrow\downarrow := (A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d).$$

Viewing  $*$ ,  $\downarrow$ ,  $\downarrow\downarrow$  as permutations on the set of all Leonard systems in  $\mathcal{A}$

$$*^2 = \downarrow^2 = \downarrow\downarrow^2 = 1, \quad (4)$$

$$\downarrow* = * \downarrow, \quad \downarrow* = * \downarrow, \quad \downarrow\downarrow = \downarrow\downarrow. \quad (5)$$

The group generated by symbols  $*$ ,  $\downarrow$ ,  $\downarrow\downarrow$  subject to relations (4) and (5) is the dihedral group  $D_4$ . We recall that  $D_4$  is the group of symmetries of a square and has 8 elements. Apparently  $*$ ,  $\downarrow$ ,  $\downarrow\downarrow$  induce an action of  $D_4$  on the set of all Leonard systems in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Two Leonard systems will be called *relatives* whenever they are in the same orbit of this  $D_4$  action. The relatives of  $\Phi$  are as follows:

| Name                                     | Relative                                               |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| $\Phi$                                   | $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$         |
| $\Phi^\downarrow$                        | $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$     |
| $\Phi^\downarrow\downarrow$              | $(A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$     |
| $\Phi^\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$    | $(A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d)$ |
| $\Phi^*$                                 | $(A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$         |
| $\Phi^{\downarrow*}$                     | $(A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d)$     |
| $\Phi^{\downarrow\downarrow*}$           | $(A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$     |
| $\Phi^{\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow*}$ | $(A^*; \{E_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; A; \{E_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d)$ |

We will use the following notational convention.

**Definition 3.1.** For  $g \in D_4$  and for an object  $f$  associated with  $\Phi$  we let  $f^g$  denote the corresponding object associated with  $\Phi^{g^{-1}}$ .

#### 4. The parameter array

In this section, we recall some parameters.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we let  $\theta_i$  (resp.  $\theta_i^*$ ) denote the eigenvalue of  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) associated with  $E_i$  (resp.  $E_i^*$ ). We refer to  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ) as the *eigenvalue sequence* (resp. *dual eigenvalue sequence*) of  $\Phi$ . We observe  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ) are mutually distinct and contained in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

We will use the following notation. Let  $\lambda$  denote an indeterminate and let  $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$  denote the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra consisting of all polynomials in  $\lambda$  that have coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ) denote the eigenvalue sequence (resp. dual eigenvalue sequence) of  $\Phi$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we define polynomials  $\tau_i, \eta_i, \tau_i^*, \eta_i^*$  in  $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i &= (\lambda - \theta_0)(\lambda - \theta_1) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{i-1}), \\ \eta_i &= (\lambda - \theta_d)(\lambda - \theta_{d-1}) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{d-i+1}), \\ \tau_i^* &= (\lambda - \theta_0^*)(\lambda - \theta_1^*) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{i-1}^*), \\ \eta_i^* &= (\lambda - \theta_d^*)(\lambda - \theta_{d-1}^*) \cdots (\lambda - \theta_{d-i+1}^*).\end{aligned}$$

Note that each of  $\tau_i, \eta_i, \tau_i^*, \eta_i^*$  is monic with degree  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

**Definition 4.3** [20, Theorem 4.6]. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Referring to Definition 4.2, we define scalars

$$\varphi_i = (\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*) \frac{\text{tr}(\tau_i(A)E_0^*)}{\text{tr}(\tau_{i-1}(A)E_0^*)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (6)$$

$$\phi_i = (\theta_0^* - \theta_i^*) \frac{\text{tr}(\eta_i(A)E_0^*)}{\text{tr}(\eta_{i-1}(A)E_0^*)} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (7)$$

where  $\text{tr}$  means trace. We note that in (6) and (7) the denominators are nonzero by [20, Corollary 4.5]. The sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) is called the *first split sequence* (resp. *second split sequence*) of  $\Phi$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . By the *parameter array* of  $\Phi$  we mean the sequence  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ , where the  $\theta_i, \theta_i^*$  are from Definition 4.1 and the  $\varphi_i, \phi_i$  are from Definition 4.3.

**Theorem 4.5** [29, Theorem 1.9]. Let  $d$  denote a nonnegative integer and let

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d) \quad (8)$$

denote a sequence of scalars taken from  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then there exists a Leonard system  $\Phi$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  with parameter array (8) if and only if (PA1)–(PA5) hold below:

(PA1)  $\varphi_i \neq 0, \phi_i \neq 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ).

(PA2)  $\theta_i \neq \theta_j, \theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^*$  if  $i \neq j$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq d$ ).

(PA3) For  $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\varphi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{i-1} - \theta_d).$$

(PA4) For  $1 \leq i \leq d$

$$\phi_i = \varphi_1 \sum_{h=0}^{i-1} \frac{\theta_h - \theta_{d-h}}{\theta_0 - \theta_d} + (\theta_i^* - \theta_0^*)(\theta_{d-i+1} - \theta_0).$$

(PA5) The expressions

$$\frac{\theta_{i-2} - \theta_{i+1}}{\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i}, \quad \frac{\theta_{i-2}^* - \theta_{i+1}^*}{\theta_{i-1}^* - \theta_i^*} \quad (9)$$

are equal and independent of  $i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d-1$ .

Suppose (PA1)–(PA5) hold. Then  $\Phi$  is unique up to isomorphism of Leonard systems.

The  $D_4$  action affects the parameter array as follows.

**Lemma 4.6** [29, Theorem 1.11]. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the parameter array of  $\Phi$ . Then the following (i)–(iii) hold:

(i) The parameter array of  $\Phi^*$  is

$$(\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d).$$

(ii) The parameter array of  $\Phi^\downarrow$  is

$$(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_{d-i}^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_{d-i+1}\}_{i=1}^d).$$

(iii) The parameter array of  $\Phi^\downarrow$  is

$$(\{\theta_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d).$$

We finish this section with a comment.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $A$ , and let  $X$  denote an element of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $XE_0^* = 0$ . Then  $X = 0$ .

**Proof.** Immediate from [37, Lemma 5.9].  $\square$

## 5. The switching element $S$

**Definition 5.1.** For a Leonard system  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  we define

$$S = \sum_{r=0}^d \frac{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-r+1}}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_r} E_r, \quad (10)$$

where  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) denotes the first (resp. second) split sequence of  $\Phi$ . We call  $S$  the *switching element* for  $\Phi$ .

**Note 5.2.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system. In what follows we will often make use of the switching element of  $\Phi^*$ . By (10) and Lemma 4.6(i):

$$S^* = \sum_{r=0}^d \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_r}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_r} E_r^*. \quad (11)$$

We call  $S^*$  the *dual switching element* for  $\Phi$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** The switching element (10) and the dual switching element (11) are invertible with

$$S^{-1} = \sum_{r=0}^d \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_r}{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-r+1}} E_r, \quad (12)$$

$$S^{*-1} = \sum_{r=0}^d \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_r}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_r} E_r^*. \quad (13)$$

**Proof.** To obtain (12) we note that the sum on the right in (10) times the sum on the right in (12) is equal to the identity; this is verified using equations (ii), (iii) below (1). Line (13) is similarly obtained.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then the switching element and the dual switching element for the relatives of  $\Phi$  are given in the following table:

| Relative               | $\Phi$ | $\Phi^\downarrow$     | $\Phi^\downarrow^\downarrow$ | $\Phi^{\downarrow\downarrow}$ | $\Phi^*$ | $\Phi^{\downarrow*}$  | $\Phi^{\downarrow\downarrow*}$ | $\Phi^{\downarrow\downarrow*}$ |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Switching element      | $S$    | $S^{-1}$              | $\varphi\phi^{-1}S$          | $\varphi^{-1}\phi S^{-1}$     | $S^*$    | $\varphi\phi^{-1}S^*$ | $S^{*-1}$                      | $\varphi^{-1}\phi S^{*-1}$     |
| Dual switching element | $S^*$  | $\varphi\phi^{-1}S^*$ | $S^{*-1}$                    | $\varphi^{-1}\phi S^{*-1}$    | $S$      | $S^{-1}$              | $\varphi\phi^{-1}S$            | $\varphi^{-1}\phi S^{-1}$      |

In the above table we abbreviate

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_d, \quad \phi = \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_d,$$

where  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  (resp.  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ ) is the first (resp. second) split sequence of  $\Phi$ .

**Proof.** Apply  $D_4$  to (10) and use Lemma 4.6.  $\square$

We now describe the switching element from various points of view.

## 6. Representing $S$ as a polynomial

Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  with switching element  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $A$ , and recall  $\{E_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{D}$ . By this and (10) we find  $S \in \mathcal{D}$ , so  $S$  is a polynomial in  $A$ . In the present section, we find this polynomial.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  there exists a unique monic polynomial  $p_i$  in  $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$  with degree  $i$  such that*

$$p_i(A)E_0^*V = E_i^*V.$$

**Proof.** The existence of  $p_i$  is established in [37, Theorem 8.3]. Concerning uniqueness, suppose we are given a monic polynomial  $p'_i$  in  $\mathbb{K}[\lambda]$  of degree  $i$  such that  $p'_i(A)E_0^*V = E_i^*V$ . We show  $p_i = p'_i$ . To this end we define  $f = p_i - p'_i$  and show  $f = 0$ . By construction  $f(A)E_0^*V \subseteq E_i^*V$ . Each of  $p_i, p'_i$  is monic of degree  $i$  so the degree of  $f$  is at most  $i - 1$ . By this and (3) we find  $f(A)E_0^*V$  is included in  $\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} E_k^*V$ . By these comments we find  $f(A)E_0^*V = 0$  so  $f(A)E_0^* = 0$ . Now  $f(A) = 0$  in view of Lemma 4.7. This implies  $f = 0$  since  $I, A, A^2, \dots, A^d$  are linearly independent.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2** [37, Lemma 17.5]. *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the corresponding parameter array. Let the polynomials  $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^d$  be from Lemma 6.1. Then*

$$p_i(\theta_0) = \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i}{\tau_i^*(\theta_i^*)} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (14)$$

Moreover,  $p_i(\theta_0) \neq 0$ .

**Definition 6.3** [37, Definition 14.1]. Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system over  $\mathbb{K}$  and let the polynomials  $\{p_i\}_{i=0}^d$  be as in Lemma 6.1. For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we define

$$u_i = \frac{p_i}{p_i(\theta_0)}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\theta_0$  is from Definition 4.1.

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let the polynomials  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$  be from Definition 6.3. Then*

$$u_i(A)E_0^*V = E_i^*V \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

**Proof.** Combine Lemma 6.1 and (15).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.5** [37, Theorem 14.7]. *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with eigenvalue sequence  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  and dual eigenvalue sequence  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ . Let the polynomials  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^d$  be as in Definition 6.3 and recall  $\{u_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$  are the corresponding polynomials for  $\Phi^*$ . Then for  $0 \leq i, j \leq d$*

$$u_i(\theta_j) = u_j^*(\theta_i^*). \quad (16)$$



**Theorem 6.6.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system and let  $S$  denote the switching element for  $\Phi$ . Then

$$S = u_d(A), \quad (17)$$

where the polynomial  $u_d$  is from Definition 6.3.

**Proof.** By  $D_4$  symmetry it suffices to show  $S^* = u_d^*(A^*)$ . Using the comments below (1) we find

$$u_d^*(A^*) = \sum_{i=0}^d u_d^*(\theta_i^*) E_i^*. \quad (18)$$

For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we compute  $u_d^*(\theta_i^*)$  as follows. By Lemma 6.1 the polynomial  $p_i$  is invariant under  $\Downarrow$ ; that is  $p_i^\Downarrow = p_i$ . We apply  $\Downarrow$  to (14) using this and Lemma 4.6 to get

$$p_i(\theta_d) = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\tau_i^*(\theta_i^*)}.$$

Combining this with (14) and (15) we find

$$u_i(\theta_d) = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i}.$$

By this and Lemma 6.5 we get

$$u_d^*(\theta_i^*) = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i}. \quad (19)$$

Evaluating (18) using (19) and comparing the result with (11) we find  $S^* = u_d^*(A^*)$ . The result follows.  $\square$

The switching element is characterized as follows.

**Theorem 6.7.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  with switching element  $S$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $A$ . Then for all nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $X E_0^* V \subseteq E_d^* V$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then  $X E_0^* V = E_d^* V$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): We mentioned in the first paragraph of this section that  $S \in \mathcal{D}$ . We have  $S E_0^* V = E_d^* V$  by Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 6.6.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we define  $\mathcal{D}_i = \text{Span}\{u_i(A)\}$ . Observe that  $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=0}^d \mathcal{D}_i$  (direct sum). Also observe by Lemma 6.4 that  $\mathcal{D}_i E_0^* V = E_i^* V$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . We assume  $X E_0^* V \subseteq E_d^* V$  so  $X \in \mathcal{D}_d$  and in other words  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $u_d(A)$ . By this and Theorem 6.6 we find  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$ .

Now suppose (i), (ii) hold. We mentioned in the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) that  $S E_0^* V = E_d^* V$ . But  $X$  is nonzero and a scalar multiple of  $S$  so  $X E_0^* V = E_d^* V$ .  $\square$

## 7. Decompositions and flags

In this section, we recall the notion of a decomposition and a flag.

By a *decomposition* of  $V$  we mean a sequence  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of subspaces of  $V$  such that  $V_i$  has dimension 1 for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^d V_i = V$  (direct sum). Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote a decomposition of  $V$ . By the *inversion* of this decomposition we mean the decomposition  $\{V_{d-i}\}_{i=0}^d$ .

By a *flag* on  $V$  we mean a sequence  $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of subspaces of  $V$  such that  $F_i$  has dimension  $i + 1$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$  and  $F_{i-1} \subseteq F_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . The following construction yields a flag on  $V$ . Let  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote a decomposition of  $V$ . Define

$$F_i = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

Then  $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a flag on  $V$ . We say this flag is *induced* by the decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$ .

We recall what it means for two flags on  $V$  to be *opposite*. Suppose we are given two flags on  $V$ :  $\{F_i\}_{i=0}^d$  and  $\{F'_i\}_{i=0}^d$ . We say these flags are *opposite* whenever there exists a decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $V$  such that

$$F_i = V_0 + V_1 + \cdots + V_i, \quad F'_i = V_d + V_{d-1} + \cdots + V_{d-i}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . In this case

$$F_i \cap F_j = 0 \quad \text{if } i + j < d \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d)$$

and

$$V_i = F_i \cap F'_{d-i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d).$$

In particular the decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is uniquely determined by the given flags. We say this decomposition is *induced* by the given flags.

We end this section with some notation.

**Notation 7.1.** Let  $F = \{F_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote a sequence of subspaces of  $V$ . Then for  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  we write  $XF$  to denote the sequence  $\{XF_i\}_{i=0}^d$ . We say  $X$  *fixes*  $F$  whenever  $XF = F$ . Let  $F' = \{F'_i\}_{i=0}^d$  denote a second sequence of subspaces of  $V$ . We write  $F \subseteq F'$  whenever  $F_i \subseteq F'_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

## 8. Some decompositions and flags associated with a Leonard system

We now return our attention to Leonard systems. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Using  $\Phi$  we construct four mutually opposite flags and consider the decompositions that they induce. We start with a definition.

**Definition 8.1.** For notational convenience let  $\Omega$  denote the set consisting of four symbols  $0, D, 0^*, D^*$ .

**Definition 8.2.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . For  $z \in \Omega$  we define a flag on  $V$  which we denote by  $[z]$ . To define this flag we display the  $i$ th component for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

| $z$   | $i$ th component of $[z]$                   |
|-------|---------------------------------------------|
| 0     | $E_0V + E_1V + \cdots + E_iV$               |
| $D$   | $E_dV + E_{d-1}V + \cdots + E_{d-i}V$       |
| $0^*$ | $E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V$         |
| $D^*$ | $E_d^*V + E_{d-1}^*V + \cdots + E_{d-i}^*V$ |

**Lemma 8.3.** Referring to Definition 8.2, the following (i)–(iv) hold for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ :

- (i) The  $i$ th component of  $[0]$  is equal to  $\eta_{d-i}(A)V$ .
- (ii) The  $i$ th component of  $[D]$  is equal to  $\tau_{d-i}(A)V$ .
- (iii) The  $i$ th component of  $[0^*]$  is equal to  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)V$ .
- (iv) The  $i$ th component of  $[D^*]$  is equal to  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)V$ .

**Proof.** (i): Recall that  $V = \sum_{j=0}^d E_jV$  (direct sum). Further recall that for  $0 \leq j \leq d$ ,  $E_jV$  is an eigenspace for  $A$  with eigenvalue  $\theta_j$ . This implies that for  $0 \leq j, k \leq d$ ,  $(A - \theta_k I)E_jV$  equals 0 if  $j = k$  and  $E_jV$  if  $j \neq k$ . By these comments and Definition 4.2 we have  $\eta_{d-i}(A)E_jV = 0$  for  $i+1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $\eta_{d-i}(A)E_jV = E_jV$  for  $0 \leq j \leq i$ . Therefore,  $\eta_{d-i}(A)V = \sum_{j=0}^i E_jV$  and this is the  $i$ th component of  $[0]$ .

(ii)–(iv): Similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.4** [31, Theorem 7.3]. The four flags in Definition 8.2 are mutually opposite.

**Definition 8.5.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $z, w$  denote an ordered pair of distinct elements of  $\Omega$ . By Lemma 8.4 the flags  $[z], [w]$  are opposite. Let  $[zw]$  denote the decomposition of  $V$  induced by  $[z], [w]$ .

We mention a few basic properties of the decompositions from Definition 8.5.

**Lemma 8.6.** Referring to Definition 8.5, for distinct  $z, w \in \Omega$  the following (i)–(iii) hold:

- (i) The decomposition  $[zw]$  is the inversion of  $[wz]$ .
- (ii) For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  the  $i$ th component of  $[zw]$  is the intersection of the  $i$ th component of  $[z]$  and the  $(d-i)$ th component of  $[w]$ .
- (iii) The decomposition  $[zw]$  induces  $[z]$  and the inversion of  $[zw]$  induces  $[w]$ .

**Proof.** Routine using Section 7 and Definition 8.5.  $\square$

**Example 8.7.** We display some of the decompositions from Definition 8.5. For each decomposition in the table below we give the  $i$ th component for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ :

| Decomposition | $i$ th component                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| $[0^*D]$      | $(E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_iV + \cdots + E_dV)$         |
| $[D^*D]$      | $(E_d^*V + \cdots + E_{d-i}^*V) \cap (E_iV + \cdots + E_dV)$     |
| $[0^*0]$      | $(E_0^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \cap (E_{d-i}V + \cdots + E_0V)$     |
| $[D^*0]$      | $(E_d^*V + \cdots + E_{d-i}^*V) \cap (E_{d-i}V + \cdots + E_0V)$ |
| $[0D]$        | $E_iV$                                                           |
| $[0^*D^*]$    | $E_i^*V$                                                         |

**Lemma 8.8.** Referring to Definition 8.5, the following (i)–(iv) hold for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ :

- (i) The  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$  is equal to  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  and  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_dV$ .
- (ii) The  $i$ th component of  $[D^*D]$  is equal to  $\tau_i(A)E_d^*V$  and  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_dV$ .
- (iii) The  $i$ th component of  $[0^*0]$  is equal to  $\eta_i(A)E_0^*V$  and  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_0V$ .
- (iv) The  $i$ th component of  $[D^*0]$  is equal to  $\eta_i(A)E_d^*V$  and  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_0V$ .

**Proof.** (i): We first show that  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  is equal to the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$ . Denote this  $i$ th component by  $U_i$ . By Lemma 8.3(ii)  $\tau_i(A)V$  is equal to  $\sum_{j=i}^d E_jV$ , so  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  is contained in  $\sum_{j=i}^d E_jV$ . By (3) and since  $\tau_i(A)$  has degree  $i$  we find  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  is contained in  $\sum_{k=0}^i E_k^*V$ . By these comments and the definition of  $U_i$  we find  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V \subseteq U_i$ . By Lemma 4.7 we have  $\tau_i(A)E_0^* \neq 0$  so  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V \neq 0$ . By this and since  $U_i$  has dimension 1 we find  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V = U_i$ . We have now shown that  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  is equal to the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$ . In a similar way we find that  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_dV$  is equal to the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$ .

(ii)–(iv): Apply (i) to the relatives of  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

## 9. The action of $S$ on the flags

Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $S$  denote the corresponding switching element. In this section, we characterize  $S$  via its action on the flags from Definition 8.2.

**Theorem 9.1.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $S$  denote the corresponding switching element. Then for all nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X[0] \subseteq [0]$ ,  $X[D] \subseteq [D]$ , and  $X[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then equality is attained everywhere in (ii).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): We show  $S[0] = [0]$ ,  $S[D] = [D]$ , and  $S[0^*] = [D^*]$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  we find  $SE_iV \subseteq E_iV$  since  $S$  is a polynomial in  $A$ , and  $SE_iV = E_iV$  since  $S^{-1}$  exists. Therefore,  $S[0] = [0]$  and  $S[D] = [D]$ . We now show that  $S[0^*] = [D^*]$ . To this end we fix an integer  $i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) and show

$$S(E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) = E_d^*V + E_{d-1}^*V + \cdots + E_{d-i}^*V. \quad (20)$$

Using Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 6.6 we find that for  $0 \leq j \leq i$

$$\begin{aligned} SE_j^*V &= u_d(A)u_j(A)E_0^*V \\ &= u_j(A)u_d(A)E_0^*V \\ &= u_j(A)E_d^*V. \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and since the polynomial  $u_j$  has degree  $j$

$$u_j(A)E_d^*V \subseteq E_{d-j}^*V + E_{d-j+1}^*V + \cdots + E_d^*V.$$

Combining these comments we obtain

$$SE_j^*V \subseteq E_{d-j}^*V + E_{d-j+1}^*V + \cdots + E_d^*V$$

and it follows that

$$S(E_0^*V + E_1^*V + \cdots + E_i^*V) \subseteq E_d^*V + E_{d-1}^*V + \cdots + E_{d-i}^*V.$$

In the above inclusion each side has the same dimension since  $S^{-1}$  exists, so the inclusion becomes equality and (20) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By Theorem 6.7 it suffices to show that  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $XE_0^*V \subseteq E_d^*V$ . We first show  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ . Recall that the flags  $[0]$ ,  $[D]$  induce the decomposition  $[0D]$ . We assume  $X[0] \subseteq [0]$  and  $X[D] \subseteq [D]$  so  $X[0D] \subseteq [0D]$ . This means that  $XE_iV \subseteq E_iV$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , so  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ . To get  $XE_0^*V \subseteq E_d^*V$ , consider the 0th component in the inclusion  $X[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. We mentioned in the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) that  $S[0] = [0]$ ,  $S[D] = [D]$ , and  $S[0^*] = [D^*]$ . But  $X$  is nonzero and a scalar multiple of  $S$  so  $X[0] = [0]$ ,  $X[D] = [D]$ , and  $X[0^*] = [D^*]$ .  $\square$

## 10. The action of $S$ on the decompositions

Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $S$  denote the corresponding switching element. In this section, we characterize  $S$  via its action on the decompositions from Definition 8.5.

**Theorem 10.1.** *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $S$  denote the corresponding switching element. Then for all nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X[0^*0] \subseteq [D^*0]$  and  $X[0^*D] \subseteq [D^*D]$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then equality holds everywhere in (ii).

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By Theorem 9.1 we have  $S[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$  and  $S[0] \subseteq [0]$  so  $S[0^*0] \subseteq [D^*0]$ . Since each component of a decomposition has dimension 1 and since  $S^{-1}$  exists, we find  $S[0^*0] = [D^*0]$ . In a similar way we obtain  $S[0^*D] = [D^*D]$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): By Theorem 9.1 it suffices to show  $X[0] \subseteq [0]$ ,  $X[D] \subseteq [D]$ , and  $X[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$ . We first show  $X[0] \subseteq [0]$ . By Lemma 8.6(i) and since  $X[0^*0] \subseteq [D^*0]$  we find  $X[00^*] \subseteq [0D^*]$ . The decompositions  $[00^*]$  and  $[0D^*]$  each induce the flag  $[0]$  by Lemma 8.6(iii) so  $X[0] \subseteq [0]$ . Next we show  $X[D] \subseteq [D]$ . By Lemma 8.6(i) and since  $X[0^*D] \subseteq [D^*D]$  we find  $X[0D^*] \subseteq [0D^*]$ . The decompositions  $[0D^*]$  and  $[0D^*]$  each induce the flag  $[D]$  by Lemma 8.6(iii) so  $X[D] \subseteq [D]$ . Finally, we show  $X[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$ . By Lemma 8.6(iii) we find that  $[0^*D]$  induces  $[0^*]$  and  $[D^*D]$  induces  $[D^*]$ . By this and since  $X[0^*D] \subseteq [D^*D]$  we find  $X[0^*] \subseteq [D^*]$ .

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. We mentioned in the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) that  $S[0^*0] = [D^*0]$  and  $S[0^*D] = [D^*D]$ . But  $X$  is nonzero and a scalar multiple of  $S$  so  $X[0^*0] = [D^*0]$  and  $X[0^*D] = [D^*D]$ .  $\square$

## 11. Some group commutators

Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . In this section, we consider linear transformations such as  $S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S$ . As we will see, these maps are closely related to the decompositions from Definition 8.5. We start with a lemma.

**Lemma 11.1.** *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then referring to Definition 8.2 the following (i)–(iv) hold:*

- (i)  $S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S$  fixes each of  $[0^*], [D]$ .
- (ii)  $S^*SS^{*-1}S^{-1}$  fixes each of  $[D^*], [D]$ .
- (iii)  $S^{*-1}S^{-1}S^*S$  fixes each of  $[0^*], [0]$ .
- (iv)  $S^{*-1}SS^*S^{-1}$  fixes each of  $[D^*], [0]$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 9.1 we find  $S[0] = [0]$ ,  $S[D] = [D]$ , and  $S[0^*] = [D^*]$ . Applying this to  $\Phi^*$  we find  $S^*[0^*] = [0^*]$ ,  $S^*[D^*] = [D^*]$ , and  $S^*[0] = [D]$ . Combining these comments we routinely obtain the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 11.2.** *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then referring to Definition 8.5 the following (i)–(iv) hold:*

- (i)  $S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S$  fixes  $[0^*D]$ .
- (ii)  $S^*SS^{*-1}S^{-1}$  fixes  $[D^*D]$ .
- (iii)  $S^{*-1}S^{-1}S^*S$  fixes  $[0^*0]$ .
- (iv)  $S^{*-1}SS^*S^{-1}$  fixes  $[D^*0]$ .

**Proof.** (i): For notational convenience abbreviate  $T = S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S$ . By Lemma 11.1 we have  $T[0^*] = [0^*]$  and  $T[D] = [D]$  so  $T[0^*D] \subseteq [0^*D]$ . By this and since  $T^{-1}$  exists we find  $T[0^*D] = [0^*D]$ .

(ii)–(iv) Apply (i) to the relatives of  $\Phi$  and use Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

Referring to Corollary 11.2, each part (i)–(iv) is asserting that for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ , the  $i$ th component of the given decomposition is an eigenspace for the given operator. We now find the corresponding eigenvalue. We will focus on case (i); the eigenvalues for the remaining cases will be found using the  $D_4$  action.

**Lemma 11.3** [22, Theorem 5.2]. *Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i^*\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the corresponding parameter array. Then for  $0 \leq i \leq d$*

$$\eta_i(A)E_0^*E_0 = \frac{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_i}{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)}\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_0, \quad (21)$$

$$\eta_i(A)E_d^*E_0 = \frac{\varphi_d\varphi_{d-1}\cdots\varphi_{d-i+1}}{\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_0, \quad (22)$$

$$\tau_i(A)E_0^*E_d = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)}\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_d, \quad (23)$$

$$\tau_i(A)E_d^*E_d = \frac{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{d-i+1}}{\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_d, \quad (24)$$

$$\eta_i^*(A^*)E_0E_0^* = \frac{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{d-i+1}}{\eta_d(\theta_0)}\eta_{d-i}(A)E_0^*, \quad (25)$$

$$\eta_i^*(A^*)E_dE_0^* = \frac{\varphi_d\varphi_{d-1}\cdots\varphi_{d-i+1}}{\tau_d(\theta_d)}\tau_{d-i}(A)E_0^*, \quad (26)$$

$$\tau_i^*(A^*)E_0E_d^* = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\eta_d(\theta_0)}\eta_{d-i}(A)E_d^*, \quad (27)$$

$$\tau_i^*(A^*)E_dE_d^* = \frac{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_i}{\tau_d(\theta_d)}\tau_{d-i}(A)E_d^*. \quad (28)$$

**Lemma 11.4** [22, Theorem 5.6]. Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the corresponding parameter array. Then

$$E_0E_d^*E_dE_0^* = \frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_d}{\tau_d(\theta_d)\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}E_0E_0^*. \quad (29)$$

**Lemma 11.5.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then the following (i)–(iv) hold:

(i)  $SE_0^*$  is equal to each of

$$\frac{\tau_d(\theta_d)\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_d}E_d^*E_dE_0^*, \quad \frac{\eta_d(\theta_0)\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_d}E_d^*E_0E_0^*. \quad (30)$$

(ii)  $S^{-1}E_d^*$  is equal to each of

$$\frac{\tau_d(\theta_d)\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_d}E_0^*E_dE_d^*, \quad \frac{\eta_d(\theta_0)\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_d}E_0^*E_0E_d^*. \quad (31)$$

(iii)  $S^*E_0$  is equal to each of

$$\frac{\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)\tau_d(\theta_d)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_d}E_dE_d^*E_0, \quad \frac{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)\tau_d(\theta_d)}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_d}E_dE_0^*E_0. \quad (32)$$

(iv)  $S^{*-1}E_d$  is equal to each of

$$\frac{\tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)\eta_d(\theta_0)}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_d}E_0E_d^*E_d, \quad \frac{\eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)\eta_d(\theta_0)}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_d}E_0E_0^*E_d. \quad (33)$$

**Proof.** We first show that  $SE_0^*$  is equal to the expression on the left in (30). By Theorem 6.7, we have  $SE_0^*V = E_d^*V$  so  $SE_0^* = E_d^*SE_0^*$ . The element  $E_d^*E_dE_0^*$  is nonzero by (29) and Lemma

4.7, so it forms a basis for  $E_d^* \mathcal{A} E_0^*$ . This space contains  $SE_0^*$  so there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$  such that  $SE_0^* = \alpha E_d^* E_d E_0^*$ . To find  $\alpha$ , note that  $E_0 S = E_0$  by (10) so  $E_0 E_0^* = \alpha E_0 E_d^* E_d E_0^*$ . Comparing this with (29) we find

$$\alpha = \frac{\tau_d(\theta_d) \tau_d^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_d}.$$

We have now shown that  $SE_0^*$  is equal to the expression on the left in (30). To obtain the remaining assertions, apply  $D_4$  and use Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.6.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then for  $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$S^* S^{-1} S^{*-1} S \tau_i(A) E_0^* = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i} \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_{d-i}}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{d-i}} \tau_i(A) E_0^*. \quad (34)$$

**Proof.** We evaluate the expression on the left in (34). Recall  $S, A$  commute; pull  $S$  to the right past  $\tau_i(A)$ . Now evaluate  $SE_0^*$  using the expression on the left in (30) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\tau_i(A) E_d^* E_d$  using (24); we find the left-hand side of (34) is a scalar multiple of

$$S^* S^{-1} S^{*-1} \tau_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_d E_0^*. \quad (35)$$

In line (35) pull  $S^{*-1}$  to the right past  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)$ . Now evaluate  $S^{*-1} E_d$  using the expression on the left in (33) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_0 E_d^*$  using (27); this shows (35) is a scalar multiple of

$$S^* S^{-1} \eta_i(A) E_d^* E_d E_0^*. \quad (36)$$

In line (36) pull  $S^{-1}$  to the right past  $\eta_i(A)$ . Now evaluate  $S^{-1} E_d^*$  using the expression on the right in (31) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\eta_i(A) E_0^* E_0$  using (21); this shows (36) is a scalar multiple of

$$S^* \eta_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_0 E_d^* E_d E_0^*. \quad (37)$$

In line (37) pull  $S^*$  to the right past  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)$ . Now evaluate  $S^* E_0 E_d^* E_d$  using the expression on the left in (33) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_d E_0^*$  using (26); this shows (37) is a scalar multiple of  $\tau_i(A) E_0^*$ . By the above comments we find that the left-hand side of (34) is a scalar multiple of  $\tau_i(A) E_0^*$ . Keeping track of the scalar we routinely verify (34).  $\square$

**Theorem 11.7.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  with parameter array  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$ , switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then the following (i)–(iv) hold for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ :

(i) The eigenvalue of  $S^* S^{-1} S^{*-1} S$  on the  $i$ th component of  $[0^* D]$  is

$$\frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i} \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_{d-i}}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_{d-i}}. \quad (38)$$

(ii) The eigenvalue of  $S^* S S^{*-1} S^{-1}$  on the  $i$ th component of  $[D^* D]$  is

$$\frac{\varphi_d \varphi_{d-1} \cdots \varphi_{d-i+1}}{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-i+1}} \frac{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{i+1}}{\varphi_d \varphi_{d-1} \cdots \varphi_{i+1}}. \quad (39)$$



(iii) The eigenvalue of  $S^{*-1}S^{-1}S^*S$  on the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*0]$  is

$$\frac{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i}{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_i} \frac{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_{d-i}}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_{d-i}}. \quad (40)$$

(iv) The eigenvalue of  $S^{*-1}SS^*S^{-1}$  on the  $i$ th component of  $[D^*0]$  is

$$\frac{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{d-i+1}}{\varphi_d\varphi_{d-1}\cdots\varphi_{d-i+1}} \frac{\varphi_d\varphi_{d-1}\cdots\varphi_{i+1}}{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{i+1}}. \quad (41)$$

**Proof.** (i): Let  $\varepsilon_i$  denote the expression in (38). We show  $S^*S^{-1}S^{*-1}S - \varepsilon_i I$  is zero on the  $i$ th component of  $[0^*D]$ . But this is immediate from Lemma 11.6 and since this  $i$ th component equals  $\tau_i(A)E_0^*V$  by Lemma 8.8(i).

(ii)–(iv): Apply the  $D_4$  action and use Lemma 4.6, Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

## 12. Representing the elements $S, S^*, S^{-1}, S^{*-1}$ by matrices

**Definition 12.1.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and fix a nonzero  $v_0^* \in E_0^*V$ . By Lemma 8.8(i) the vectors  $\tau_i(A)v_0^*$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d$ ) form a basis for  $V$ . For  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  let  $X^\natural$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  that represents  $X$  with respect to this basis. We observe  $\natural: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras.

**Example 12.2** [38, Section 21]. Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let the isomorphism  $\natural: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  be as in Definition 12.1. Then

$$A^\natural = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & \theta_1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \theta_2 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{*\natural} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_1^* & \varphi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta_2^* & \cdot & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & & & \theta_d^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denotes the parameter array of  $\Phi$ .

Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$ , with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Our goal for this section is to find  $S^\natural, (S^{-1})^\natural, S^{*\natural}, (S^{*-1})^\natural$ .

**Lemma 12.3.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then for  $0 \leq i \leq d$

$$S\eta_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_d = \frac{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{d-i+1}}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i} \tau_{d-i}^*(A^*)E_d, \quad (42)$$

$$S\eta_i^*(A^*)E_0 = \frac{\phi_d\phi_{d-1}\cdots\phi_{d-i+1}}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i} \tau_i^*(A^*)E_0, \quad (43)$$

$$S^*\eta_{d-i}(A)E_d^* = \frac{\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_i}{\varphi_1\varphi_2\cdots\varphi_i} \tau_{d-i}(A)E_d^*, \quad (44)$$

$$S^* \eta_i(A) E_0^* = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i} \tau_i(A) E_0^*. \quad (45)$$

**Proof.** We first show (42). Using (23) we find that the left-hand side of (42) is a scalar multiple of

$$S \tau_i(A) E_0^* E_d. \quad (46)$$

In (46) pull  $S$  to the right past  $\tau_i(A)$ . Now evaluate  $S E_0^*$  using the expression on the left in (30) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\tau_i(A) E_d^* E_d$  using (24); this shows that (46) is a scalar multiple of

$$\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_d E_0^* E_d. \quad (47)$$

By [38, Theorem 23.8]

$$E_0 E_0^* E_0 = \frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_d}{\eta_d(\theta_0) \eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)} E_0.$$

Applying  $\Downarrow$  to this and using Lemma 4.6 we find

$$E_d E_0^* E_d = \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_d}{\tau_d(\theta_d) \eta_d^*(\theta_0^*)} E_d.$$

Using this we find that (47) is a scalar multiple of  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_d$ . By the above comments the left-hand side of (42) is a scalar multiple of  $\tau_{d-i}^*(A^*) E_d$ . Keeping track of the scalar we routinely verify (42). To obtain (43)–(45) apply  $D_4$  to (42) using Lemma 4.6 and Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

Before we proceed we recall some scalars. Given a Leonard system  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  and given nonnegative integers  $r, s, t$  such that  $r + s + t \leq d$ , in [31, Definition 13.1] we defined a scalar  $[r, s, t]_q \in \mathbb{K}$ , where  $q + q^{-1} + 1$  is the common value of (9). For example, if  $q \neq 1$  and  $q \neq -1$  then

$$[r, s, t]_q = \frac{(q; q)_{r+s} (q; q)_{r+t} (q; q)_{s+t}}{(q; q)_r (q; q)_s (q; q)_t (q; q)_{r+s+t}},$$

where

$$(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}).$$

We mention some features of  $[r, s, t]_q$  that we will use. By [31, Lemma 13.2] we find  $[r, s, t]_q$  is symmetric in  $r, s, t$ . We also have the following.

**Lemma 12.4.** Referring to Definition 4.2, for  $0 \leq j \leq d$  we have

$$\tau_j = \sum_{i=0}^j [i, j-i, d-j]_q \tau_{j-i}(\theta_d) \eta_i, \quad (48)$$

$$\eta_j = \sum_{i=0}^j [i, j-i, d-j]_q \eta_{j-i}(\theta_0) \tau_i, \quad (49)$$

$$\tau_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^j [i, j-i, d-j]_q \tau_{j-i}^*(\theta_d^*) \eta_i^*, \quad (50)$$

$$\eta_j^* = \sum_{i=0}^j [i, j-i, d-j]_q \eta_{j-i}^* (\theta_0^*) \tau_i^*. \quad (51)$$

**Proof.** This is a routine consequence of [31, Theorem 15.2].  $\square$

**Lemma 12.5.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with switching element  $S$ . Then for  $0 \leq j \leq d$

$$S\tau_j(A) = \sum_{i=j}^d \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-j+1} \tau_{i-j}^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i} \tau_i(A), \quad (52)$$

$$S^{-1}\tau_j(A) = \sum_{i=j}^d \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{i-j}^*(\theta_0^*)}{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-i+1}} \tau_i(A). \quad (53)$$

**Proof.** Concerning (52), let  $L$  (resp.  $R$ ) denote the expression on the left (resp. right). We show  $L = R$ . To do this we first show that  $LE_0^* = RE_0^*$ . We evaluate  $LE_0^*$  using (26) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $S\eta_{d-j}^*(A^*)E_d$  using (42); this shows  $LE_0^*$  is a scalar multiple of

$$\tau_{d-j}^*(A^*)E_dE_0^*. \quad (54)$$

Now in (54) evaluate  $\tau_{d-j}^*(A^*)$  using (50) and in the resulting expression evaluate  $\eta_i^*(A^*)E_dE_0^*$  using (26); this shows that (54) is a scalar multiple of

$$\sum_{i=0}^{d-j} [i, d-j-i, j]_q \varphi_d \varphi_{d-1} \cdots \varphi_{d-i+1} \tau_{d-j-i}^*(\theta_d^*) \tau_{d-i}(A) E_0^*.$$

In this expression we replace  $i$  by  $d-i$  and find it is equal to

$$\sum_{i=j}^d [j, i-j, d-i]_q \varphi_d \varphi_{d-1} \cdots \varphi_{i+1} \tau_{i-j}^*(\theta_d^*) \tau_i(A) E_0^*. \quad (55)$$

So far we have shown that  $LE_0^*$  is a scalar multiple of (55). Keeping track of the scalar we routinely find  $LE_0^* = RE_0^*$ . Now  $L = R$  by Lemma 4.7. To obtain (53), apply  $\downarrow$  to (52) and recall  $S^\downarrow = S^{-1}$  from Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.6.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the corresponding parameter array. Let  $S$  denote the switching element for  $\Phi$  and let the isomorphism  $\natural: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  be from Definition 12.1. Then each of  $S^\natural$ ,  $(S^{-1})^\natural$  is lower triangular. Moreover, for  $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d$  their  $(i, j)$  entries are given as follows:

$$S_{i,j}^\natural = \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-j+1} \tau_{i-j}^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i},$$

$$(S^{-1})_{i,j}^\natural = \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{i-j}^*(\theta_0^*)}{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-i+1}}.$$

**Proof.** Follows from Lemma 12.5 and Definition 12.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 12.7.** Let  $(A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system with dual switching element  $S^*$ . Then for  $0 \leq j \leq d$

$$S^* \tau_j(A) E_0^* = \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i \tau_{j-i}(\theta_d)}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i} \tau_i(A) E_0^*, \quad (56)$$

$$S^{*-1} \tau_j(A) E_0^* = \sum_{i=0}^j \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{j-i}(\theta_0)}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_j} \tau_i(A) E_0^*. \quad (57)$$

**Proof.** First we show (56). In the left-hand side of (56) we evaluate  $\tau_j(A)$  using (48) to find

$$S^* \tau_j(A) E_0^* = \sum_{i=0}^j [i, j-i, d-j]_q \tau_{j-i}(\theta_d) S^* \eta_i(A) E_0^*.$$

In this equation, we evaluate  $S^* \eta_i(A) E_0^*$  using (45) and get (56).

Next we show (57). By (45)

$$S^{*-1} \tau_j(A) E_0^* = \frac{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_j} \eta_j(A) E_0^*.$$

In this equation, we evaluate  $\eta_j(A)$  using (49) and get (57).  $\square$

**Theorem 12.8.** Let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d)$  denote the corresponding parameter array. Let  $S^*$  denote the dual switching element for  $\Phi$  and let the isomorphism  $\natural : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  be from Definition 12.1. Then each of  $S^{*\natural}, (S^{*-1})^\natural$  is upper triangular. Moreover, for  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq d$  their  $(i, j)$  entries are given as follows:

$$S_{i,j}^{*\natural} = \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i \tau_{j-i}(\theta_d)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i},$$

$$(S^{*-1})_{i,j}^\natural = \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{j-i}(\theta_0)}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_j}.$$

**Proof.** Follows from Lemma 12.7 and Definition 12.1.  $\square$

### 13. Leonard pairs in matrix form

In this section, we restate Theorems 12.6 and 12.8 in more concrete terms. Let us consider the following situation.

**Definition 13.1.** Let  $d$  denote a nonnegative integer. Let  $A$  and  $A^*$  denote matrices in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_1^* & \varphi_2 & & & \\ & & \theta_2^* & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & & \ddots & \varphi_d \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta_d^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_i &\neq \theta_j, \quad \theta_i^* \neq \theta_j^* \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq d), \\ \varphi_i &\neq 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d). \end{aligned}$$

Observe  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) is multiplicity-free, with eigenvalues  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$  (resp.  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ ). For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $E_i$  (resp.  $E_i^*$ ) denote the primitive idempotent of  $A$  (resp.  $A^*$ ) associated with  $\theta_i$  (resp.  $\theta_i^*$ ).

**Lemma 13.2.** Referring to Definition 13.1, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.

- (i) The pair  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair.
- (ii) The sequence  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system.

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the first split sequence of  $\Phi$ . Moreover, the isomorphism  $\natural$  from Definition 12.1 is the identity map.

**Proof.** The equivalence of (i), (ii) is established in [35, Lemma 6.2]. By [32, Theorem 14.3], the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the first split sequence of  $\Phi$ . Comparing Example 12.2 with (58) we find  $A^\natural = A$  and  $A^{*\natural} = A^*$ . Now  $\natural$  is the identity map since  $A, A^*$  generate  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  by [37, Corollary 5.5].  $\square$

**Theorem 13.3.** Referring to Lemma 13.2, assume the equivalent conditions (i), (ii) hold, and let  $S$  denote the switching element element for  $\Phi$ . Then each of  $S, S^{-1}$  is lower triangular. Moreover, for  $0 \leq j \leq i \leq d$  their  $(i, j)$  entries are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,j} &= \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-j+1} \tau_{i-j}^*(\theta_d^*)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i}, \\ S_{i,j}^{-1} &= \frac{[j, i-j, d-i]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{i-j}^*(\theta_0^*)}{\phi_d \phi_{d-1} \cdots \phi_{d-i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above lines  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the second split sequence of  $\Phi$ .

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 12.6 with Lemma 13.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 13.4.** Referring to Lemma 13.2, assume the equivalent conditions (i), (ii) hold, and let  $S^*$  denote the dual switching element element for  $\Phi$ . Then each of  $S^*, S^{*-1}$  is upper triangular. Moreover, for  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq d$  their  $(i, j)$  entries are given as follows:

$$S_{i,j}^* = \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i \tau_{j-i}(\theta_d)}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i},$$

$$S_{i,j}^{*-1} = \frac{[i, j-i, d-j]_q \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_j \eta_{j-i}(\theta_0)}{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_j}.$$

In the above lines  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the second split sequence of  $\Phi$ .

**Proof.** Combine Theorem 12.8 with Lemma 13.2.  $\square$

#### 14. A characterization of a Leonard system in terms of the switching element

In this section, we give a characterization of a Leonard system in terms of its switching element. This characterization is a variation on [35, Theorem 6.3] and is stated as follows.

**Theorem 14.1.** Referring to Definition 13.1, the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:

- (i) The pair  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair.
- (ii) There exists an invertible  $X \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  and there exist nonzero scalars  $\phi_i \in \mathbb{K}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) such that  $X^{-1}AX = A$  and

$$X^{-1}A^*X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_d^* & \phi_d & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_{d-1}^* & \phi_{d-1} & & & \\ & & \theta_{d-2}^* & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & & & & \cdot & \phi_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & & \theta_0^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (59)$$

Suppose (i), (ii) hold. Then  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system,  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the second split sequence of  $\Phi$ , and  $X$  is a scalar multiple of the switching element for  $\Phi$ .

**Proof.** For notational convenience we abbreviate  $V = \mathbb{K}^{d+1}$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq d$  let  $e_i$  denote the vector in  $V$  with  $i$ th coordinate 1 and all other coordinates 0. Observe that  $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for  $V$ . From the form of  $A$  in (58) we find

$$(A - \theta_i I)e_i = e_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (A - \theta_d I)e_d = 0. \quad (60)$$

From the form of  $A^*$  in (58) we find

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)e_i = \varphi_i e_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_0^* I)e_0 = 0. \quad (61)$$

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By Lemma 13.2 the sequence  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ , with eigenvalue sequence  $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ , dual eigenvalue sequence  $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$ , and first split sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^d$ . Let  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  denote the second split sequence of  $\Phi$  and let  $S$  denote the switching element for  $\Phi$ . Then  $S^{-1}$  exists by Lemma 5.3. Also  $S$  commutes with  $A$  by Theorem 6.7 so  $S^{-1}AS = A$ . We now show that (59) holds with  $X = S$ . From (60) we find

$$e_i = \tau_i(A)e_0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq d). \quad (62)$$

From the equation on the right in (61) we find  $A^*e_0 = \theta_0^*e_0$ ; using this and  $e_0 \neq 0$  we find  $e_0$  is a basis for  $E_0^*V$ . By this and (61) and (62) we have

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I)\tau_i(A)E_0^* = \varphi_i \tau_{i-1}(A)E_0^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_0^* I)E_0^* = 0. \quad (63)$$

We apply  $\downarrow$  to (63) and use Lemma 4.6 to find

$$(A^* - \theta_{d-i}^* I) \tau_i(A) E_d^* = \phi_{d-i+1} \tau_{i-1}(A) E_d^* \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_d^* I) E_d^* = 0. \quad (64)$$

By Theorem 6.7 and since  $e_0 \in E_0^* V$  we find  $Se_0 \in E_d^* V$ . Combining this with (64) we have

$$(A^* - \theta_{d-i}^* I) \tau_i(A) Se_0 = \phi_{d-i+1} \tau_{i-1}(A) Se_0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_d^* I) Se_0 = 0. \quad (65)$$

Evaluating (65) using  $S^{-1}AS = A$  and (62) we routinely find

$$(S^{-1}A^*S - \theta_{d-i}^* I) e_i = \phi_{d-i+1} e_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (S^{-1}A^*S - \theta_d^* I) e_0 = 0.$$

By this we find (59) holds with  $X = S$ , as desired.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): We show  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ . To do this we invoke [35, Theorem 5.1]. According to that theorem it suffices to display a decomposition  $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $V$  such that

$$(A - \theta_i I) U_i = U_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (A - \theta_d I) U_d = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$(A^* - \theta_i^* I) U_i = U_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_0^* I) U_0 = 0 \quad (67)$$

and a decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  of  $V$  such that

$$(A - \theta_i I) V_i = V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d-1), \quad (A - \theta_d I) V_d = 0, \quad (68)$$

$$(A^* - \theta_{d-i}^* I) V_i = V_{i-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d), \quad (A^* - \theta_d^* I) V_0 = 0. \quad (69)$$

Define  $U_i = \text{Span}\{e_i\}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . The sequence  $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a decomposition of  $V$  since  $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for  $V$ . The decomposition  $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$  satisfies (66) by (60). The decomposition  $\{U_i\}_{i=0}^d$  satisfies (67) by (61) and since  $\phi_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Now define  $V_i = \text{Span}\{Xe_i\}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . The sequence  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a decomposition of  $V$  since  $X^{-1}$  exists, and since  $\{e_i\}_{i=0}^d$  is a basis for  $V$ . The decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  satisfies (68) by (60) and since  $X^{-1}AX = A$ . The decomposition  $\{V_i\}_{i=0}^d$  satisfies (69) by (59) and since  $\phi_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . We have now verified (66)–(69) so [35, Theorem 5.1] applies; by that theorem  $\Phi$  is a Leonard system in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ . Now the pair  $A, A^*$  is a Leonard pair by Lemma 13.2.

Now suppose (i), (ii) hold. We mentioned in the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) that  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  is a Leonard system in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ . Next we show that  $X$  is a scalar multiple of the switching element  $S$  for  $\Phi$ . To do this we invoke Theorem 6.7. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  generated by  $A$ . The element  $X$  commutes with  $A$  so  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ . By the left-most column in (59) we find  $X^{-1}A^*Xe_0 = \theta_d^*e_0$  so  $Xe_0 \in E_d^*V$ . But  $e_0$  is a basis for  $E_0^*V$  so  $XE_0^*V \subseteq E_d^*V$ . Now  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$  by Theorem 6.7. We saw in the proof of (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) that the sequence  $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d$  is the second split sequence of  $\Phi$ .  $\square$

The following is a variation in [36, Theorem 3.2].

**Theorem 14.2.** *Let  $d$  denote a nonnegative integer and let*

$$\left( \{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d; \{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d; \{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^d \right) \quad (70)$$

*denote a sequence of scalars taken from  $\mathbb{K}$ . Assume this sequence satisfies the conditions (PA1) and (PA2) in Theorem 4.5. Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent:*

(i) The sequence (70) satisfies (PA3)–(PA5) in Theorem 4.5.

(ii) There exists an invertible  $X \in \text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  such that

$$X^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix} X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & \mathbf{0} \\ 1 & \theta_1 & & & \\ & 1 & \theta_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ \mathbf{0} & & & 1 & \theta_d \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^* & \varphi_1 & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_1^* & \varphi_2 & & \\ & & \theta_2^* & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \varphi_d \\ & & & & \theta_d^* \end{pmatrix} X = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_d^* & \phi_d & & & \mathbf{0} \\ & \theta_{d-1}^* & \phi_{d-1} & & \\ & & \theta_{d-2}^* & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & \phi_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & & & & \theta_0^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): By Theorem 4.5 there exists a Leonard system  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  that has parameter array (70). Let  $\natural$  denote the corresponding isomorphism from Definition 12.1. Applying  $\natural$  to each term in  $\Phi$  if necessary, we may assume  $\Phi$  is in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$ , and that  $\natural$  is the identity map. Now  $A, A^*$  are of the form (58). Now (ii) holds by Theorem 14.1.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Follows from Theorems 14.1 and 4.5.  $\square$

**Note 14.3.** We comment on how the switching element is related to the matrix  $G$  that appears in [35, Theorem 6.3] and [36, Theorem 3.2]. In [35, Theorem 6.3] reference is made to a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$  of the form (58); let  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denote the corresponding Leonard system from Lemma 13.2. Then  $G$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $S^{*-1}Y$ , where  $S^*$  denotes the dual switching element for  $\Phi$  and  $Y$  denotes the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_{d+1}(\mathbb{K})$  whose  $(i, i)$  entry is

$$\frac{\phi_1 \phi_2 \cdots \phi_i}{\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \cdots \varphi_i}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq d$ .

**Proof.** From the data given in [35, Theorem 6.3] one readily verifies that  $YG^{-1}$  is invertible and commutes with  $A^*$ . Moreover,  $YG^{-1}E_0V \subseteq E_dV$  where  $V = \mathbb{K}^{d+1}$ . Now applying Theorem 6.7 to  $\Phi^*$  we find  $YG^{-1}$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $S^*$ . So  $G$  is a nonzero scalar multiple of  $S^{*-1}Y$ .  $\square$

## 15. Open problems

In this section,  $\Phi = (A; \{E_i\}_{i=0}^d; A^*; \{E_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  denotes a Leonard system in  $\mathcal{A}$  with switching element  $S$  and dual switching element  $S^*$ . We will be discussing the flags

$$[z] \quad (z \in \Omega) \tag{71}$$

from Definition 8.2.



**Definition 15.1.** For distinct  $x, y \in \Omega$ , by a *switching element of type*  $(x, y)$  we mean an element  $X$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  that sends  $[x]$  to  $[y]$  and fixes the remaining two flags in (71).

**Example 15.2.** By Theorem 9.1 we find that for nonzero  $X \in \mathcal{A}$

- (i)  $X$  is a switching element of type  $(0^*, D^*)$  if and only if  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S$ .
- (ii)  $X$  is a switching element of type  $(D^*, 0^*)$  if and only if  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S^{-1}$ .
- (iii)  $X$  is a switching element of type  $(0, D)$  if and only if  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S^*$ .
- (iv)  $X$  is a switching element of type  $(D, 0)$  if and only if  $X$  is a scalar multiple of  $S^{*-1}$ .

**Problem 15.3.** Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameter array of  $\Phi$  for there to exist a switching element of type  $(0^*, D)$ .

**Problem 15.4.** Find a necessary and sufficient condition on the parameter array of  $\Phi$  for there to exist a switching element of type  $(0^*, D)$  and a switching element of type  $(0, D^*)$ .

**Note 15.5.** For certain  $\Phi$  there exists a second Leonard system  $\Phi' = (B; \{F_i\}_{i=0}^d; B^*; \{F_i^*\}_{i=0}^d)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that the decomposition  $\{F_i V\}_{i=0}^d$  coincides with  $[0D^*]$  and the decomposition  $\{F_i^* V\}_{i=0}^d$  coincides with  $[0^*D]$ . See for example [5]. In this case the switching element for  $\Phi'$  is a switching element for  $\Phi$  of type  $(0^*, D)$ , and the dual switching element for  $\Phi'$  is a switching element for  $\Phi$  of type  $(0, D^*)$ .

## References

- [1] H. Alnajjar, B. Curtin, A family of tridiagonal pairs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 390 (2004) 369–384.
- [2] H. Alnajjar, B. Curtin, A family of tridiagonal pairs related to the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ , *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 13 (2005) 1–9.
- [3] G. Benkart, P. Terwilliger, Irreducible modules for the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$  and its Borel subalgebra, *J. Algebra* 282 (2004) 172–194. <arXiv:math.QA/0311152>.
- [4] G. Benkart, P. Terwilliger, The universal central extension of the three-point  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (6) (2007) 1659–1668. <arXiv:math.RA/0512422>.
- [5] B. Hartwig, Three mutually adjacent Leonard pairs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 408 (2005) 19–39. <arXiv:math.AC/0508415>.
- [6] B. Hartwig, The tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 422 (2007) 219–235. <arXiv:math.RT/0606197>.
- [7] B. Hartwig, P. Terwilliger, The tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  loop algebra, *J. Algebra* 308 (2007) 840–863. <arXiv:math-ph/0511004>.
- [8] T. Ito, K. Tanabe, P. Terwilliger, Some algebra related to  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes, *Codes and Association Schemes* (Piscataway NJ, 1999), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2001, pp. 167–192. <arXiv:math.CO/0406556>.
- [9] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The shape of a tridiagonal pair, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 188 (2004) 145–160. <arXiv:math.QA/0304244>.
- [10] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ , *Ramanujan J.* 13 (2007) 39–62. <arXiv:math.QA/0310042>.
- [11] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, Two non-nilpotent linear transformations that satisfy the cubic  $q$ -Serre relations, *J. Algebra Appl.* 6 (2007) 477–503. <arXiv:math.QA/0508398>.
- [12] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, The  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra and its finite dimensional irreducible modules, *Comm. Algebra*, in press. <arXiv:math.QA/0602199>.
- [13] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger,  $q$ -Inverting pairs of linear transformations and the  $q$ -tetrahedron algebra, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 427 (2007) 218–233. <arXiv:math.RT/0606237>.

- [14] T. Ito, P. Terwilliger, C. Weng, The quantum algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and its equitable presentation, *J. Algebra* 298 (2006) 284–301. [<arXiv:math.QA/0507477>](#).
- [15] R. Koekoek, R.F. Swarttouw, The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its  $q$ -analog, report 98-17, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1998. [<http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/askey.html>](#).
- [16] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs and the Askey–Wilson relations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 397 (2005) 99–106.
- [17] K. Nomura, A refinement of the split decomposition of a tridiagonal pair, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 403 (2005) 1–23.
- [18] K. Nomura, Tridiagonal pairs of height one, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 403 (2005) 118–142.
- [19] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Balanced Leonard pairs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 420 (2007) 51–69. [<arXiv:math.RA/0506219>](#).
- [20] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Some trace formulae involving the split sequences of a Leonard pair, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 413 (2006) 189–201. [<arXiv:math.RA/0508407>](#).
- [21] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, The determinant of  $AA^* - A^*A$  for a Leonard pair  $A, A^*$ , *Linear Algebra Appl.* 416 (2006) 880–889. [<arXiv:math.RA/0511641>](#).
- [22] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Matrix units associated with the split basis of a Leonard pair, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 418 (2006) 775–787. [<arXiv:math.RA/0602416>](#).
- [23] K. Nomura, P. Terwilliger, Linear transformations that are tridiagonal with respect to both eigenbases of a Leonard pair, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 420 (2007) 198–207. [<arXiv:math.RA/0605316>](#).
- [24] A.A. Pascasio, On the multiplicities of the primitive idempotents of a  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graph, *European J. Combin.* 23 (2002) 1073–1078.
- [25] H. Rosengren, Multivariable orthogonal polynomials and coupling coefficients for discrete series representations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 30 (1999) 233–272.
- [26] H. Rosengren, An elementary approach to the  $6j$ -symbols (classical, quantum, rational, trigonometric, and elliptic), *Ramanujan J.* 13 (2007) 131–166. [<arXiv:math.CA/0312310>](#).
- [27] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme I, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 1 (1992) 363–388.
- [28] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme III, *J. Algebraic Combin.* 2 (1993) 177–210.
- [29] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 330 (2001) 149–203. [<arXiv:math.RA/0406555>](#).
- [30] P. Terwilliger, Two relations that generalize the  $q$ -Serre relations and the Dolan–Grady relations, *Physics and Combinatorics 1999* (Nagoya), World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001, pp. 377–398. [<arXiv:math.QA/0307016>](#).
- [31] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs from 24 points of view, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 32 (2) (2002) 827–888. [<arXiv:math.RA/0406577>](#).
- [32] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; the TD-D and the LB-UB canonical form, *J. Algebra* 291 (2005) 1–45. [<arXiv:math.RA/0304077>](#).
- [33] P. Terwilliger, Introduction to Leonard pairs, *OPSFA Rome 2001*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 153 (2) (2003) 463–475.
- [34] P. Terwilliger, Introduction to Leonard pairs and Leonard systems, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, Algebraic Combinatorics (Kyoto, 1999) 1109 (1999) 67–79.
- [35] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the split decomposition, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 178 (2005) 437–452. [<arXiv:math.RA/0306290>](#).
- [36] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; comments on the parameter array, *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* 34 (2005) 307–332. [<arXiv:math.RA/0306291>](#).
- [37] P. Terwilliger, Leonard pairs and the  $q$ -Racah polynomials, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 387 (2004) 235–276. [<arXiv:math.QA/0306301>](#).
- [38] P. Terwilliger, An algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions: Computation and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1883, Springer, 2006, pp. 255–330. [<arXiv:math.QA/0408390>](#).
- [39] P. Terwilliger, The equitable presentation for the quantum group  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  associated with a symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , *J. Algebra* 298 (2006) 302–319. [<arXiv:math.QA/0507478>](#).
- [40] P. Terwilliger, R. Vidunas, Leonard pairs and the Askey–Wilson relations, *J. Algebra Appl.* 3 (2004) 411–426. [<arXiv:math.QA/0305356>](#).
- [41] R. Vidunas, Normalized Leonard pairs and Askey–Wilson relations, Technical Report MHF 2005-16, Kyushu University 2005. [<arXiv:math.RA/0505041>](#).
- [42] R. Vidunas, Askey–Wilson relations and Leonard pairs, preprint. [<arXiv:math.QA/0511509>](#).
- [43] A.S. Zhedanov, “Hidden symmetry” of Askey–Wilson polynomials, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* 89 (1991) 190–204.